

CRITICALLY EXPLORING BUSINESS ENGAGEMENT IN ACADEMIA: THE CASE OF THE UK CONSULTING INDUSTRY

Dr Joe O'Mahoney,
Cardiff Business School,
University of Cardiff, Wales. CF10 3EU

0044(0)7906133649

joeomahoney@gmail.com

Dr. Richard Adams,
Cranfield School of Management,
Cranfield University, UK. MK43 0AL.

0044(0)1234 751122

r.adams@cranfield.ac.uk

ABSTRACT

In Western countries, policy makers are increasingly encouraging a research agenda in the academy which privileges impact on practice and engagement with industry. Nowhere is this more prevalent than in business schools, and engagement is sought in few industries more than that of management consultancy. This paper seeks to explore the success, failure and potential of the UK academy in engaging with the priorities of the consulting industry. Using interviews with partners and senior stakeholders in the industry, the paper first traces the key challenges facing the consultancy industry in the UK. Using a comprehensive review of the literature, the paper then examines the extent to which exhortations for academic research to follow business priorities have been achieved. The findings suggest that engagement with industry's perceived challenges has been limited. However, the paper goes on to argue that whilst the academe has developed little engagement with the *managerialist* priorities of the industry, it is developing a rich engagement with the deeper issues which underpin the industry including a strong social and moral critique which may contribute towards the long-term survival of the industry.

INTRODUCTION

The dominance of managerial and business prerogatives in government and the public sector has accelerated over the last twenty-five years and, especially in the US and the UK, had far-reaching consequences the priorities of public institutions such as the health service, the civil service and the academy (Clark et al. 2000; Craig and Brooks, 2006). In the UK, the political impetus for the introduction of business imperatives to the higher education sector were introduced through both a change in governance, a reprioritisation of funding priorities and drive to expand business education as a 'cash cow' for university financing (Deem et al. 2007).

Central to the transformation of the higher priorities and opportunities presented in various business sectors. In recent years, this has focused especially upon what can loosely be termed 'the knowledge economy' which includes professional service firms, knowledge intensive firms and innovation networks (Adler et al. 2007). This paper critically examines the relationship between the challenges of one industry within this area, management consultancy, and the extent to which these have driven and received the research attention of the academe. Management consultancy is chosen in part because it is perhaps the most successful of the new economy industries but also because it has, through its growing influence with central government, helped set the agenda and discourse of business engagement in higher education.

The paper first provides a brief outline of the UK consulting industry and traces its importance in terms of the new managerialism in the public sector and its subsequent prioritisation by the UK Government as a business to be engaged with by academics. Next, using interviews with senior stakeholders in the UK consulting industry, the challenges and research priorities for this sector are detailed. These priorities are then compared to the actual academic output in this field. It is found that few academics have researched what the consultancy industry perceives its priorities and challenges to be and that, instead a number of other concerns dominate higher education research agendas, especially in leading journals. However, rather than concluding that this is an example of the disjunct, and therefore, failure, of the engagement between consultancy and academia, it suggests that the priorities of academics may help highlight longer-term and more fundamental issues that could sustain and renew the industry.

The paper then seeks to achieve a number of things. First, it elicits the current challenges and priorities of the UK consulting industry. Second, it examines the extent to which the academy is meeting these concerns. Third, it examines what academics have been prioritising when studying consultancy if not the

challenges that the industry itself espouses. Finally, it provides a critical empirical examination of the agenda-setting priorities of the 'new managerialism' in the public sector.

AN ARRANGED MARRIAGE OR TRUE LOVE?

In the UK, the introduction of 'new managerialism' into the public sector, and specifically the institutions of higher education, was enabled through a number of mechanisms. In the 1980s the funding cuts to higher education made by the Thatcher government necessitated an examination of alternative forms of financing for university governance. Central to this effort was an expansion of the managerialist agenda in business schools. Thus, sociologist and Marxist academics found their funding cut and opportunities curtailed whilst those who sought to explore managerialist agendas or develop MBA teaching were rewarded by both the funding bodies and the business market (Kaufman 2004: 401). As MBAs demanded a more engaged and managerialist cadre of professors than courses, say, in Industrial Relations or Work Sociology, an implicit transformation occurred in business schools throughout the 1980s in the prioritisation of managerial teaching and research over that which sought to promote the knowledge of subjects such as sociology, psychology or political studies.

This change through teaching priorities was paralleled by changes in both governance, management and funding opportunities. In governance, executives from industry and business were increasingly placed in roles previously held by academics, for example in the Economic and Social Research Council (ESRC), the Department for Education (DoE) and the Higher Education Academy (HEA) in an explicit attempt to bring a private sector ethos to what was perceived as a bureaucratic and cumbersome public sector (Pierre 2000). This process was accelerated by the Treasury-funded 'Lambert Review of Business University Collaboration' (2003) which amongst other things encouraged the removal of academics from University Councils and their replacement with 'business leaders'.

With regard to funding, pressures for further industry engagement came indirectly, from the premium income associated with industry research or business education and directly, from funding bodies such as the ESRC. Here, funding has increasingly been ring-fenced for projects in 'business engagement', 'capacity building' or 'business knowledge transfer' which are explicitly aimed at driving research that matches the priorities of industry. Of especial interest to funding bodies have been engagement with industries such as which are seen to enhance levels of competitiveness through knowledge creation and innovation, such as e-business, the creative industries, management consultancy, financial services, digital media, marketing. Of these, the consulting industry is held up as the best-in-show of knowledge generation, innovation diffusion and networking.

The UK consulting industry, along with that of the US and Germany, has been one of the great industrial success stories of the twentieth century. Emerging relatively recently for an influential profession, the growth of the industry gathered pace in the wake of the Second World War on the back of both economic growth and the import of US expertise. In 1956, the UK consulting industry comprised around 800 consultants generating an income of £4 million (Tisdal 1982). By 2006, this market was worth around £7.7bn (MCA 2007). From 1980 – 2000 especially, the growth of the global economy, combined large IT and outsourcing projects enabled growth rates of between 10 – 15% per annum. The industry success in economic terms was paralleled in its political ideology. The *coup de grace* of consulting, in the UK and the USA, was to tether itself inextricably with the thinking of the neo-liberal governments of the 1990s, promoting an agenda of deregulation, privatisation and reform which guaranteed a decade of product innovation, industry growth and unprecedented profits (Craig 2005). Thus, ironically, consultancy itself played a great part in creating the climate and conditions by which academics are now exhorted to seek greater engagement with the industry itself.

The success of the consulting industry is all the more palatable for government funding institutions as it epitomises for politicians and, subsequently, funding-bodies, the dominance of the new economy of the old. Above everything, consultancy represented the emergence of the knowledge economy: a highly-skilled, flexible and innovative change to the manual, heavy and inconveniently unionised industries that characterised earlier decades. Cast, then, as "innovation factories" (Hargadon and Sutton 2000: 161) consultancies epitomised the type of

work that academics, should engage with, if not aspire to emulate. Their generation and dissemination of 'management innovations' (Birkinshaw et al. 2008) are seen to provide a sustainable and significant improvement to the competitiveness of UK clients (Haas 2006; Clegg et al. 2004; Suddaby and Greenwood 2001; Engwall and Kipping 2002).

On top of the natural curiosity any business school academic might feel towards an industry which has been so successful and influential, a number of other factors have encouraged an engagement with the consulting profession. First, as MBAs become more competitive and tied to the demands of the market, management consultancy, the number one career choice of MBAs, has increasingly featured as an elective or stream on many degrees. Second, a number of consultants and academics have sought to enhance their credibility or identity by stepping into the territory of the other. Many academics seek to supplement their income with external consultancy work, whilst many consultants seek to add credibility to their practice through association with a business school. Finally, in the UK, a number of funding opportunities have been made available to study the consulting industry, or, more generically, knowledge intensive industries. The authors of this report, for example, have won over £200,000 of funding in the last two years on different funded projects specifically for this purpose.

Now we have established the forces and mechanisms for engagement between consultants and academics we can turn to exploring the success of these exhortations. Specifically, the rest of this paper examines the extent to which academic research has *actually* been driven by the priorities of the consulting industry. How this is achieved is explored next.

METHODOLOGY

The research project, itself funded by the ESRC, was intended to elicit and understand the challenges of the UK consultancy industry and examine the extent to which academia had responded to those challenges. The challenges were explored through three sources of information. First, two workshops supported by the Advanced Institute of Management (AIM) were run aimed at exploring current themes in the consulting industry (Antonacopoulou 2006a, 2006b). The first, attended by 26 participants focused on opportunities and challenges in management consultancy. The second, with 21 attendees, honed in on the issues of professionalism, regulation and procurement. These workshops were attended by academics,

professional body representatives and a range of representatives from small and large consultancies.

The second source is a set of semi-structured interviews with sixteen senior stakeholders in the consulting industry including partners and directors at IBM, Accenture, KPMG, PA Consulting and PWC, each lasting for around an hour and focusing on their perceptions of the challenges facing the industry. The sample also included buyers of consultancy services in client organisations, the leaders of the two industry representative bodies and the Head of Professional Services at the Office of Government Commerce (OGC). These semi-structured interviews aimed at eliciting a more detailed understanding of the challenges the industry faces. These data were combined with recent analyses undertaken by media sources (such as the FT, Accountancy Age and The Economist) to support and develop the themes identified in earlier research. Finally, stakeholders (n=29) were invited to a third workshop to discuss, challenge and validate the emergent themes from the previous data gathering and analytic exercises. Discussions were facilitated by an academic or senior industry/policy making representative and captured by a rapporteur. Together with the previous workshops the project involved 75 discrete participants and contributors as several participants attended more than one event.

On the basis of this empirical work, five overarching 'challenges' were identified as important to the Management Consulting industry which we categorised as:

- Strategic Change
- Operations Management
- Procurement
- Innovation Management
- People Management

Concurrently, a literature review was undertaken to assess the extent to which published research addressed each of the challenges and to understand the nature of any gaps in the literature. Within the scope of the project, the review was limited and by no means exhaustive. However, it covers most of the major journals, government reports and industry association publications since 2000. In addition, a keyword search of research from the Social Sciences Citation Index (in January 2008) was undertaken for publications from the period 2000-2008. As many search terms had high levels of ambiguity (for example 'operations' can mean different things in the management, military and medical spheres) searches were only performed in 'Business', 'Management', 'Economics' and 'Operations Management & Management Science'

subject categories. This decision produced a high level of concurrence regarding the meaning of search terms¹.

This methodology enabled comparison of the priorities of the management consulting industry with the research which academics had produced. It also enabled the authors to map out academics' preferred areas of research, if they were not directly addressing industry-espoused challenges.

Our methodology has two principal limitations. First, regarding the interviews with stakeholders, a total of seventy-five senior consultants, academics and industry association representatives were involved in identifying the priorities outlined in this report. The voices of less senior representatives of the industry (consultants rather than partners, managers rather than directors) may have elicited a different perspective on the industry – perhaps focused more on operational rather than strategic issues.

Second, time constraints limited our ability to perform a thorough systematic review of the literature. Systematic review proposes a rigorous methodology to reviewing the literature that explores a clearly specified question, which is usually derived from a policy or practice problem, using existing studies. To be considered systematic, such reviews are expected to conform to a set of principles as well as adopting specific methods to identify, select and critically appraise relevant primary research and extract and analyse data from the studies included in the review (Tranfield et al. 2003). Consequently, our search may have overlooked some studies with strong contributions to make. Whilst an attempt to rectify this was made by looking at the 'left-over' articles, it should not prove surprising if some were missed. Additionally, whilst the identified themes were examined with reference to the consulting industry, analysis concerning the themes in alternative industries was not prioritised. It is possible that opportunities for cross-sectoral learning exist, but this possibility was not the focus of our study. One recommendation for the future would be to examine which of these themes have not yet been adequately studied in a cross-industry context.

¹ By 'concurrence', we mean the extent to which writers understood each term to mean the same thing. For example, most analyses mean the same thing when they use the term 'professionalisation'.

UK CONSULTANCY CHALLENGES

The challenges to the consulting industry identified in the workshops and interviews are outlined below:

1. Strategic Change

The environment in which the consultancy sector operates has changed significantly in recent years and this has created a number of challenges for the strategic thinking of consultancies. Interviewees identified the following issues which have affected their strategic thinking in 2008:

- **Competition:** Three of the Big Four² accounting firms have (re)built their consulting³ practices which has increased the competition for the advisory market and placed pressure on other consultancies. Concurrently, the big system integrators, such as IBM and EDS as well as a number of niche consultancies are moving aggressively into the advisory space.
- **Consolidation:** Large consultancies are looking to mergers and acquisitions to boost their talent in the advisory sector. Since 2003, the volume of M&A activity in the sector has tripled (Equiteq 2007) and 2008 saw more M&A activity than ever before four firms a week being bought and merged with⁴. For smaller firms, being acquired by a larger organisation offers lucrative rewards for partners and share-holders. Interestingly, last year was the first time that Private Equity firms outstripped other consultancies as the biggest buyer of small firms.
- **Globalisation:** Whilst emerging economies have long been used for outsourcing and IT development by consultancies, they are increasingly providing a threat for upstream advisory services. IBM, for example, now has 25% of its workforce based in India, whilst Accenture has over 50% of its workforce in Asia. Whilst lacking the intellectual longevity

² Deloitte did not divest its consultancy practice.

³ Some consultancies have termed this 'business advisory services' but they appear indistinguishable from 'management consulting'.

⁴ Including Impact Plus, ItemPlus, Hornagold & Hills, Advantage Business Group, Mantix, Orbys Consulting and The Rossmore Group.

demonstrated by UK and US consultancies, BRIC countries are increasingly developing low-cost centres of excellence in advisory services.

- Recession: Forecasts for the consulting industry in 2009 were already looking tight at this stage. The economic downturn may mean lower fees as consultancy services are one of the optional extras firms cut down on in a recession. As the lacklustre growth in public sector spending indicated in 2006-7, the boom times appear to be over as far as government is concerned. With margins, and income per consultant, already heading downwards, the industry seems in for a tougher ride in the next 12 months.

2. Operations management

External pressures from clients have also changed the style and character of consulting operations. Interviewees articulated several concerns which were creating change in the industry:

- Client Sophistication: Globalisation has made many projects larger, more complex and much more expensive. With more at stake, clients have become more sophisticated in their dealing with consultants, often employing ex-consultants to run internal consulting teams or manage the procurement of consultancy services. One effect of this has been a reduction in operating margins (e.g. income per consultant).
- Value: For a similar reason, consultancies are also under pressure to demonstrate and quantify the value that they add to an organisation. However, given the complexity of some projects, many consultants interviewed found this a challenge, less so in the IT and Finance areas but especially so in 'people' change projects.
- Implementation: As projects have become bigger, clients have got more risk-averse, favouring incremental to radical change. Since 2000, this trend has 'all but eliminated' the market for blue-sky 'visionary' consulting traditionally undertaken by high-end practices such as McKinsey or Booz Allen Hamilton. Where strategic work is undertaken, clients are insisting that it is closely linked to frameworks for implementation, thus inclining projects towards practical incremental change rather than radical visionary ideas.

- Payment models: In seeking to shift the responsibility for risk from themselves onto consultants, many clients, especially in the private sector, are seeking to utilise incentivised payment systems where the consultancy is rewarded for good and punished for bad performance. Whilst many consultancies welcome the chance to link performance and reward, there is concern that, in some circumstances, this can result in clients downplaying the achievements of the consultancies they work with in this way..

3. Procurement

Another way in which clients have sought to minimise expenditure on consultancies is to develop their own procurement services. Prompted by pressure from the National Audit Office (NAO) and the Public Accounts Committee (PAC) to maximise value for money when contracting consultants, the public sector and the Office of Government Commerce (OGC) have taken a lead in establishing procurement controls for advisory services – it is a lead that has been followed by in the private sector. All interviewees agreed that these developments had had a significant impact on their work, though it is thought to impact large projects more than niche advisory companies⁵:

- Communication: Many believed that the development of procurement functions has placed an intermediary between themselves and the end business user. This raises the question of how the relationship between the consultant and the business user has changed in terms of the project needs being successfully communicated to all parties.
- Politics: This also means that many of the personal relationships between consultancies and business users that characterised earlier deals are not as useful as they once were. Some consultancies are, therefore, looking for ways of ‘staying in’ the client (i.e. avoiding going through procurement for repeat business). This raises a challenge for the consultancy in ensuring they keep within procurement rules and the client in ensuring they get the best people for the job.

⁵ Note that these points are raised by consultancies. There would be a number of different interpretations from procurers, clients and government institutions which are outside the scope of this report. Many consultants are inclined to hold negative views on procurement processes because *inter alia* procurers are adept at negotiating discounts.

- Education: Some consultants suggested that the emphasis on cost leads some procurers to opt for cheaper options (e.g. contractors) without realising the 'added-value' that they were missing out on by not using consultancies. There was, some felt, a need to educate procurers about the different forms of consultancy relationship and what each could offer.
- Standards: Consultancies, procurers and clients emphasised the need for clear and open communication between stakeholders at an institutional level to ensure that best practice is maintained and understood by all individuals involved. There are a number of mechanisms in place to ensure this happens but the process of disseminating knowledge is complex and uneven.

4. People Management

Due to the recent boom in the consulting industry and changes in the employment market, recruitment and retention often come top in surveys where industry leaders are asked to outline their concerns about the consulting market. However, concerns raised by stakeholders went beyond simple supply and demand:

Work-Life Balance: As the industry has got more competitive, hours have got longer and consultants are under more pressure. Many of them, seeking better job / life balance leave the industry: some to set up their own consultancies, some to work for clients and some simply to work in less stressful jobs. New recruits were reported as being less likely to put up with unpleasant placements and were more likely to leave if they did not feel at home in a consultancy.

- Pay: As margins have reduced in the consulting industry, companies have found that pay in other sectors is much more attractive to talent than it was ten years ago. The finance sector is capable of paying around 20% for talented individuals and some clients are now happy to pay a premium to recruit ex-consultants if it will reducing their procurement costs.
- Engaging Educators: some stakeholders bemoaned the lack of Science, Technology, Engineering & Mathematics (STEM) graduates in the UK meant that they were increasingly having to look overseas for suitable recruits. Some wondered if different

ways of engaging with current students might develop realistic expectations and suitable competences amongst potential recruits.

- Culture: there are also some internal issues regarding people management in consultancies. These include: fostering co-operation, rather than competition, among ambitious employees; balancing the lag between industry boom and recruitment (which can result in capacity issues); and, managing the culture change for employees who transfer to consulting from other industries. Others were concerned that trans-national consultants might have difficulty crossing cultural barriers.
- Reputation: the media has always been keen to publicise reported 'failures' of consultancy projects, especially in the public sector. Recent books titles have exploited this hype presenting consultants as unethical, profligate and expensive. Some stakeholders feared that this type of coverage not only impacted clients but also diminished the number of potential recruits for the industry.

5. Innovation management

Innovation plays a central part in the value that consultancies add to clients and the economy generally. Many of the major business concepts, methods and theories have been developed by leading management consultancies and passed, through their consultants, into the wider economy. However, several challenges were posed by stakeholders regarding innovation in the current climate:

- The next big thing: Several senior consultants have noted that lack of a new Big Idea in consulting. Concepts such as TQM, BPR, eBusiness and outsourcing were large, global ideas that made the industry billions and resulted in improved performance of the economy. However, since 2000, some feel the industry has been scratching around for the next big thing with little success.
- Client satisfaction: In their survey of the industry, the MCA recently noted that clients were unimpressed with their consultants' ability to think creatively with only 59% satisfied or very satisfied. More than one partner agreed, pointing out that clients often felt they were not getting much in terms of new ideas from the consultancies they brought on-board.

- Risk: However, some interviewees felt that this lack of innovation was a result of client attitude towards risk. They believed that in these risk-adverse times, clients were less likely to undertake big adventurous projects or try overly creative (and untested) methods.
- Fostering innovation: Many of the consultants we interviewed found it hard to articulate a consistent source for their own creativity. Whilst several cited large 'knowledge databases' in their organisations, they felt that this was rarely the source for the creativity that was needed when dealing with clients. One partner suggested that joint research between consultancies and academia might look at new big ideas that were on the horizon.

THE LIMITS AND OPPORTUNITIES OF ENGAGEMENT

Table 1, overleaf, presents a summary of a keyword search of research from the Social Sciences Citation Index (in January 2008) for publications for the period 2000-2008. It is noteworthy that whilst a search for the challenges return over 9,170 articles in business / management journals, only 23 of these are also concerned with management consultancy.

Table 1

Published literature by theme (2000-2008) - Social Science Citation Index, January 2008

Theme	Theme Alone	Management: Search term 'manag*'	Consulting: Search term: 'consult*'	Management Consulting: Search: 'management consult*'
Strategic Change: Search term 'Strateg*'	4,590	570	8	2
Operations Management: Search term: 'Operational" OR 'Operations'	641	165	11	0
Procurement: Search term: 'Procur*'	158	8	1	0
Innovation: search term: 'Innovat*'	2,778	393	8	2 (+9) ^a
People Management Search Term: 'Recruit*' 'Talent'	206	12	1	0 (+3) ^a
Total	9,170	49	20	3 (+12)

Notes

1. Search terms as per column and row heads. * denotes a truncation to permit search for singular, plural or other variants of a word
2. Searches only performed in 'Business', 'Management', 'Economics', 'sociology' and 'Operations Management & Management Science' subject categories
3. ^a denotes articles which were similar to the relevant theme but were not returned by a keyword search. In the case of 'Innovation' the additional nine articles were concerned with Knowledge Management. In the case of 'People Management', the additional three articles were concerned with Skill development.

Put simply, this table indicates that, as of January 2008, on the basis of the evidence of our search, academics appeared not to be engaging (in any significant numbers) with the challenges articulated by the consulting industries. Despite the changes to governance structures, funding streams and teaching priorities, academics had not yet engaged significantly with the challenges of strategy, operations, procurement, innovation or people management in the consultancy industry.

It is interesting to note, however, that when entered as a ‘stand-alone’ search term ‘management consultancy’ (excluding the themes: strategy, procurement, innovation et cetera) returns 100 journal articles. The question which then poses itself is, if these articles are not focused on the priorities which consultants themselves are interested in, what then are they concerned with? An overview follows in Table 2:

Table 2 Analysis of remaining consultancy articles

Theme	Details	No. of articles
Sector Analyses	Overview or history of consultancy sector	15
Practitioner Guidance	‘How to’ guides for consultants	11
Identity, Rhetoric and Control	Identity regulation of consultants through ideological controls and discourse	13
Professionalisation	If consultants should professionalise	4
Case-studies	Descriptive overviews of consulting	4
(Irrelevant Articles)	(Concerned with medical consultation)	(40)

Whilst academics have not overly concerned themselves with the challenges of the consulting industry, we argue that their studies are nevertheless important and relevant, not simply to the long-term interests of consultants but also their clients and the socio-political environment they influence. Below, we briefly review the themes identified in Table 2 to illustrate the importance of these works:

- **Sector Analyses**

These are generally descriptive pieces which give an overview of the development of the sector in its socio-economic environment. Many of these illustrate both the legislative,

ideological and social waves which have enabled the growth of the consulting industry and the political, rhetorical and economic mechanisms by which they have achieved such a considerable impact in such a short space of time (McKenna, 2006). These studies are important because they point to findings which are important to both consultancies, policy makers and clients, such as the dangers inherent in combining audit and consultancy functions (Craig 2005), the association of consultancy and western imperialism (Wright and Kwon 2006) or the importance of structural and institutional change in sustaining consulting growth (Kipping and Kirkpatrick 2007). Adams and Zanzi (2005), for example, highlight the increasing risk and pressure associated with the continuing financialisation of the consulting sector and the impact this can have as consultancies move away from the partnership model (Epstein 2005).

- **Practitioner Guidance / Case-studies**

Whilst practitioners know themselves to be entirely capable of generating marketable products and exemplar cases for the consumption of clients, the pressure to maximise sales by presenting a favourable picture can often detract from deeper reflection and deliberation on alternative interpretations. Many cases, especially those developed for students and professional bodies emphasise ethics and how these translate into practice (Van Es 2002; Collins 2004). In addition, many academic papers provide credibility and a more robust theoretical back-drop to consultancy products. Many highly profitable consultancy products such as Total Quality Management and Business Process Re-engineering were developed in conjunction with business school academics.

- **Rhetoric, Control and Identity**

Many academic studies study how the identities of both consultants and clients are 'constructed' through the ideological controls and discourses that consultancies both construct and reproduce in their social interactions. The work in this area is varied, but includes a focus on stress and alienation in consulting work (Handley et al. 2007; O'Mahoney 2007; Costas and Fleming 2009; Whittle 2005) which may help consultancies understand and improve the poor retention rates which they currently experience. Other work has shown how the construction of 'expert' and 'elite' identities help provide succour to insecure managers faced with operational and personal uncertainty and ambiguity (Alvesson and Robertson 2006; Whittle 2006; Robertson and Swan 2003), whilst others still

have demonstrated the importance of consulting rhetoric fitting with social discourses in achieving sustainable success in selling management innovations (Case 1999; Clark and Salaman 1998).

- **Professionalisation**

The professionalisation of the consultancy industry is something that few large consultancies are interested in as it would lead to an external body accrediting their consultants and, they argue, a commodification of consultancy work (O'Mahoney et al. 2008). However, research has indicated that there may be benefits for other stakeholders such as clients, procurement functions, employees and the wider society (Muzio et al. 2006; McKenna 2006). These articles have argued that a professional ethos incorporating best-practice, a code of ethics and a codified body of knowledge would help mitigate some of the risks as consultancy projects have grown and potential conflicts of interests have arisen (Glucker and Armbruster 2003; Berit and Kieser 2002).

Whilst it has only been possible to provide short summaries of some of the work academics have undertaken which fall outside the scope of 'responding to industry challenges', they do, nonetheless offer an indication that much of this work, whilst not perhaps satisfying the strategic immediate concerns of the industry, provides a deeper, longer-term consideration of the wider impact of consulting in many important areas. There are of course other concerns which may merit consideration that we have been unable to include in this brief review.

SUMMARY

This paper has detailed the business challenges espoused by senior stakeholders in the UK consulting industry. It has shown that despite the rhetoric of business engagement, relatively few academic research papers directly address the challenges which the industry believes it is facing. However, the paper argues that such a finding should not be taken as a sign of failure.

Issues such as identity, stress, risk, control and professional standards, whilst not immediately addressing the contemporary challenges identified during our empirical work, are central to the long-term survival of the industry, the well-being of its employees, the credibility of the industry's reputation and its impact on the social and economic welfare of both clients and wider society.

Our analysis provides an empirical illustration of the segmentation of academic activity envisaged in Ivory et al.'s (2006) recent consideration of the relevance and value of business schools. They proposed four modes of knowledge production: a social science approach, which focuses on contribution to knowledge; a liberal arts agenda that relates to the fundamentals of knowledge; the professional school whose primary focus is the improvement of management practice, and; the business school as an actor in the knowledge economy where the focus is on the development of management knowledge and the commercialization of scientific and technological discoveries. That small number of academics directly addressing the consulting industry's contemporary challenges arguably coheres to the professional school/knowledge economy profile whilst others emphasise the social science model and liberal arts agenda. Each has an important role to play and, by attempting to examine the complexities of the social embeddedness of consultancy, academic research can help highlight some important issues which consultancies, regulators and clients may miss if they focus solely on short-term horizons.

In short, whilst this paper accepts that the economic imperatives of industry should be one guide in setting research agendas for the academy, research has a wider responsibility than simply to answer to industry demands. As well as academics asking themselves how they can better engage with the priorities of industry, so too should industry, or at least government funding bodies, be asking themselves how they can better engage and take account of the whole range of academic work in this area.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The study was undertaken for the Advanced Institute of Management (AIM) and funded by the Economic and Social Research Council (ESRC). The two authors were the lead investigators for the project. The report is helping guide future government funding into consulting research and to recommend appropriate models of engagement between academia and consultancy that will meet the consulting challenges of the 21st century.

Richard Adams also gratefully acknowledges support from the Innovation and Productivity Grand Challenge with funding provided by the Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council (EPSRC) and the Economic and Social Research Council (ESRC) through the Advanced Institute of Management Research (AIM)

REFERENCES

Adams, S. & Zanzi, A. (2005) The Consulting Career in Transition: From Partnership to Corporate, *Career Development International*, 10(4), 325-338.

Adler, N., Shani, A. and Styhre, A. (2004) Collaborative Research in Organizations: Foundations for Learning, Change, and Theoretical Development. *Sage*.

AIM (2007) Making industry and university collaboration work. *Advanced Institute of Management*, Press Release, 8th March.

Alvesson, M. and Robertson, M. (2006) The Best and the Brightest: The Construction, Significance and Effects of Elite Identities in Consulting Firms. *Organization*, 13(2).

Antonacopoulou E., Muzio D., Geiger D., Patnaik S., and Roohanifar M. (2006a). Challenges and opportunities in management consulting. GNOSIS.

Antonacopoulou E., Muzio D., Geiger D., Patnaik S., and Roohanifar M. (2006b). Professionalism, Regulation and Procurement. GNOSIS.

Berit, E. and Kieser, A. (2002) In search of explanations for the consulting explosion' in The expansion of management knowledge: Carriers, flows and sources. K. Sahlin-Andersson, and L. Engwall (eds), 47-73, *Stanford,CA: Stanford University Press*.

Case, P. (1999) 'Remember re-engineering? The rhetorical appeal of a managerial salvation device.' *Journal of Management Studies* 36, 4, 419-441.

Clark, T. and Salaman, G. (1998) Telling tales: management guru's narratives and the construction of managerial identity. *Journal of management studies*, 35(2).

Clark, J., Gewirtz, S. and McLaughlin, E. (2000) *New managerialism, new welfare?* Sage: London.

Collins, D. (2004) 'Who put the con in consultancy? Fads, recipes and 'vodka margarine''. *Human Relations* 57(5): 553 – 572.

Costas, J. and Fleming, P. (2009) Beyond dis-identification: A discursive approach to self-alienation in contemporary organizations, *Human Relations*, 62(3).

Craig, D. (2005) *Rip Off The scandalous story of the management consulting money machine.* Original Book Company: London.

Craig, D. and Brooks, R. (2006) *Plundering the Public Sector,* London: Constable.

Deem, R., Hillyard, S., Reed, M. and Reed, M. (2007) Knowledge, higher education and the new managerialism. *Oxford University Press.*

Engwall, L., and Kipping, M. (2002) *Introduction: Management Consulting as a Knowledge Industry,* in M. Kipping and L. Engwall (eds.), *Management Consulting: Emergence and Dynamics of a Knowledge Industry.* Oxford University Press: Oxford.

Epstein, G. (2005) *Financialization and the world economy.* Edward Elgar Publishing.

Equiteq (2007) *Mergers and Acquisitions Management Consulting Report.*

Glucker, J. and Armbruster, T. (2003) Bridging Uncertainty in Management Consulting: The Mechanisms of Trust and Networked Reputation, *Organization Studies*, 24 (2).

Hargadon, A. and Sutton, R. (1997) *Technology Brokering and Innovation in a Product Development Firm*, *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 42: 716-749.

Haas, M. (2006) *Acquiring and Applying Knowledge in Transnational Teams – the Roles of Cosmopolitans and Locals*, *Organization Science*, 17(3): 367-384.

Handley, K., Clark, T., Fincham, R. and Sturdy, A. (2007) Researching situated learning. *Management Learning*. 38(2).

Ivory, C., Miskell, P., Shipton, H., White, A., Moeslein, K. and Neely, A. (2006) *UK business schools: historical contexts and future scenarios. Summary report from an EBK/AIM management research forum*. Advanced Institute of Management Research, London. http://www.aimresearch.org/publications/schools_report.pdf

Kaufman, B. (2004) *The Global Evolution of Industrial Relations: Events, Ideas and the IIRA. International Labour Organisation*.

Kipping, M. and Kirkpatrick, I. (2007) 'From Talyor as product to taylorism as process: Knowledge intensive firms in a historical perspective', in Muzio, D. Ackroyd, S. and Chalant, F. (eds.) *Redirections in the Study of Expert Labour: Law, Medicine and Management Consultancy*, London: Palgrave.

Lambert Review of Business-University Collaboration (2003), *HM Treasury*, December.

MCA (2007) *The UK Consulting Industry. Management Consultancy Association*.

Muzio, D., Kipping, M. and Kirkpatrick, I. (2006) 'Overly Controlled or out of Control? Management consultants and the new corporate professionalism' in Craig, J. ed. (2006) *Production Values: Futures for Professionalism*. London: Demos. 2006.

O'Mahoney, J. (2007) Disrupting identity: trust and angst in management consulting, in Bolton, S. (Ed.) *Searching for the H in Human Resource Management*, Sage.

O'Mahoney, J., Adams, R., Antonacopoulou, E. and Neely, A. (2008) *A Scoping Study of Contemporary and Future Challenges in the UK Management Consulting Industry*, London: ESRC.

Pierre, J. (2000) *Debating Governance*. Oxford University Press.

PWC / MCA (2006) Ensuring sustainable value from consultants. *MCA / PWC*.

Robertson, M. and Swan, J. (2003) Control – What Control?' Culture and Ambiguity Within a Knowledge Intensive Firm, *Journal of Management Studies*, 40:4

Sturdy, A. Clark, T., Fincham, R., and Handley, K (2008) Re-thinking the role of management consultants as disseminators of business knowledge - knowledge flows, directions and conditions in consulting projects, in Scarbrough, H (ed.) *The evolution of business knowledge*, Oxford University Press, Oxford.

Suddaby, R., and Greenwood, R. (2001). 'Colonizing Knowledge—Commodification as a Dynamic of Jurisdictional Expansion in Professional Service Firms'. *Human Relations*, 54/7: 933–53.

Tiratsoo, N. (2004) The Americanisation of Management Education in Britain. *Journal of Management Inquiry*. 13(2).

Tisdall, P. (1982) *Agents of Change: The Development and Practice of Modern Management Consulting*. London: Heinemann.

Tranfield, D., Denyer, D. and Smart, P. (2003) Towards a methodology for developing evidence-informed management knowledge by means of a systematic review. *British Journal of Management*, 14(3).

van Es, R. (2002), 'From impartial advocates to political agents: role switching and trustworthiness in consultancy, *Journal of Business Ethics*, Vol. 39 pp.145-51.

Whittle, A. (2006) 'The paradoxical repertoires of management consultancy', *Journal of Organizational Change Management* 19(4): 424-443.

Whittle, A. (2005) 'Preaching and Practising Flexibility: Implications for Theories of Subjectivity at Work', *Human Relations* 58(10): 1301-1322.

Wright, C. and Kwon, S. (2006) Business Crisis and Management Fashion: Korean Companies, Restructuring and Consulting Advice. *Asia Pacific Business Review*, 12(3).